UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

KAREEM NELSON,

**CASE NO.:** 1:20-cv-2214

Plaintiff,

v.

RCSH OPERATIONS, LLC d/b/a Ruth's Chris Steak House, and DC ASSOCIATES L.P.,

Defendants.

### **COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

Plaintiff, KAREEM NELSON by and through undersigned counsel, and pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and all other applicable rules, statutes, regulations and governing legal authorities, hereby files this Complaint and sues RCSH OPERATIONS, LLC, a Louisiana limited liability company, d/b/a Ruth's Chris Steak House, and DC ASSOCIATES L.P., a New York limited partnership, (collectively, hereinafter the "Defendants") for injunctive relief, attorney's fees and costs, including but not limited to disbursements, court expenses and fees, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181 et seq. (hereinafter "AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT" or "ADA") and the ADA Accessibility Guidelines 28 C.F.R. Part 36 (hereinafter "ADAAG"), and for injunctive relief and damages, pursuant to the NEW YORK CITY HUMAN RIGHTS LAW ("NYCHRL"), and the NEW YORK STATE HUMAN RIGHTS LAW ("NYSHRL") and alleges:

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief brought pursuant to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, et seq. This Court is vested with

- original jurisdiction over this dispute pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and § 1343. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims that arise out of the same nucleus of facts and circumstances as the subject federal claims.
- 2. Venue is proper and lies in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 (B) in that the transaction or occurrence giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in New York.
- 3. The remedies provided by NYSHRL against discrimination are not exclusive and state administrative remedies do not need to be exhausted in connection with a lawsuit commenced pursuant to the Federal Civil Rights Act.

### **THE PARTIES**

- 4. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff, KAREEM NELSON, was and is over the age of 18 years, *sui juris*, and was a resident of Brooklyn.
- 5. Mr. Nelson has at all material times suffered from a "qualified disability" under Title III of the ADA; Plaintiff is a C-7 C-1 paraplegic and uses a wheelchair for mobility. Plaintiff also has a physical disability within the meaning of the laws of the State of New York.
- 6. The Defendants, RCSH OPERATIONS, LLC, a Louisiana limited liability company, d/b/a Ruth's Chris Steak House ("Ruth's Chris Steak House"), and DC ASSOCIATES L.P., a New York limited partnership ("DC Associates"), are authorized to conduct, and are conducting business within the State of New York.
- 7. Upon information and belief, Ruth's Chris Steak House is the lessee and/or operator of the real property, and the owner of the improvements where the Subject Facility is located, which is the subject of this lawsuit, the facility commonly referred to as Ruth's Chris Steak House (hereinafter the "Subject Facility") located at 148 W 51st Street, New York, New York

- 10019 (hereinafter and heretofore referred to as "Subject Property"), which also maintains and controls the Subject Facility.
- 8. Upon information and belief, DC Associates is the owner, lessor and/or operator of the real property, where the Subject Facility is located which is the subject of this lawsuit, the facility commonly referred to as Ruth's Chris Steak House, located at Subject Property, and also maintains and controls the Subject Facility. The Subject Facility is a place of "public accommodation" as that term is defined under the ADA; specifically, the Subject Facility is operated as a restaurant.
- 9. Prior to the commencement of this action, Plaintiff personally visited the Subject Property with the intention of using the Subject Facility; however, Plaintiff was denied full access to, and full enjoyment of the facilities at Subject Property and the Subject Facility, and/or any accommodations offered to the public therein in that Plaintiff was restricted and limited by his disabilities, and therefore suffered an injury in fact. That, Plaintiff continues to desire to visit the Subject Property and/or the Subject Facility in the future, but continues to be injured in that he is unable to and continues to be discriminated against due to the architectural barriers that remain at the Subject Facility, all in violation of the ADA, NYSHRL, and NYCHRL.
- 10. That all events giving rise to the instant action occurred in the City of New York, State of New York. Venue is proper in the Southern District of New York in that the Subject Property and Subject Facility are located in the State of New York, County of New York.

# COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT

11. Plaintiff re-avers and re-alleges the allegations set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.

- 12. On or about July 26, 1990, Congress enacted the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C.§ 12101, et seq.
- 13. Congress specifically found, *inter alia*, that:<sup>1</sup>
  - a. Some 43,000,000 Americans have one or more physical or mental disabilities, and this number is increasing as the population as a whole is growing older;
  - Historically, society has tended to isolate and segregate individuals with disabilities, and, despite some improvements, such forms of discrimination against individuals with disabilities continue to be a serious and pervasive social problem;
  - c. Individuals with disabilities continually encounter various forms of discrimination, including outright intentional exclusion, the discriminatory effects of architectural, transportation, and communication barriers, overprotective rules and policies, failure to make modifications to existing facilities and practices, exclusionary qualification standards and criteria, segregation, and relegation to lesser service, programs, activities, benefits, jobs, or other opportunities
  - d. Discrimination against individuals with disabilities persists in such critical areas of employment, housing, public accommodations, education, transportation, communication, recreation, institutionalization, health services, voting, and access to public services; and,
  - e. The continuing existence of unfair and unnecessary discrimination and prejudice denies people with disabilities the opportunity to compete on an equal basis and to pursue those opportunities for which our free society is justifiably famous, and

4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 42 U.S.C. § 12101(a)(1) – (3), (5), and (9).

costs the United States billions of dollars in unnecessary expenses resulting from dependency and non-productivity.

- 14. Congress explicitly set forth the purpose of the ADA; to wit:<sup>2</sup>
  - (i) Provide a clear and comprehensive national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities;
  - (ii) Provide a clear, strong, consistent, enforceable standards addressing discrimination against individuals with disabilities; and,
  - (iii) Invoke the sweep of congressional authority, including the power to enforce the fourteenth amendment and to regulate commerce, in order to address the major areas of discrimination faced day-to-day by people with disabilities.
- 15. The congressional legislation provided commercial enterprises with a period of one and a half years from the enactment of the statute to implement the requirements imposed under the ADA.
- 16. The effective date of Title III of the ADA was January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000.00 or less).<sup>3</sup>
- 17. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1281(7) and 28 C.F.R. §36.104, the Subject Facility, which is subject to this action is a public accommodation because it provides good and services to the public.
- 18. Upon information and belief, the Subject Facility has begun operations, and/or has undergone substantial remodeling, repairs and/or alterations since January 26, 1992, and/or has sufficient income to make readily achievable accessibility modifications.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 42 U.S.C. § 12101(b) (1)(2) and (4).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 42 U.S.C. § 12181; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

- 19. Pursuant to the mandates of 42 U.S.C. § 12134(a), on July 26, 1991, the Department of Justice, Office of Attorney General, promulgated federal regulations to implement the requirements of the ADA (the "ADAAG").<sup>4</sup>
- 20. Public accommodations were required to conform to these regulations by January 26, 1992 (or January 26, 1993, if Defendant has 10 or fewer employees and gross receipts of \$500,000.00 or less).<sup>5</sup>
- 21. The Subject Property and Subject Facility is legally required to be, *but is not*, in compliance with the ADA and/or ADAAG.
- 22. The Subject Property and Subject Facility are in violation under the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* and 28 C.F.R. § 36.302 *et. seq.* in that the Defendants are discriminating against the Plaintiff, as a result of the following specific violations, which include, but are not limited to, the following:
  - a. Inaccessible entrance at the Subject Facility.
  - b. Failure to provide an identifiable accessible route to the Subject Facility, for navigation by a wheelchair as required.
  - c. Failure to provide an identifiable accessible means of egress, at the existing steps at the interior side of the entrance door leading down to the Subject Facility, for navigation by a wheelchair, in that the existing steps at the interior side of the entrance door leading down to the Subject Facility act as a barrier to accessibility.
  - d. Non-compliant existing handrails at the steps at the interior side of the entrance door leading down to the establishment, in that the handrail extensions are not

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 28 C.F.R. Part 36.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 42 U.S.C. § 12181; 28 C.F.R. § 36.508(a).

- provided as required at the existing handrails at the steps leading down to the establishment.
- e. Inaccessible bar: non-compliant height of the bar exceeds the maximum height allowance, the required minimum knee and toe clearance is not provided at the bar, and the portion of the bar required to be accessible is not provided.
- f. Failure to provide accessible and compliant restrooms in the Subject Facility in that the following violations were discovered during an inspection of the restrooms in the Subject Facility:
  - Inaccessible travel path leading to the men's and women's restrooms, in that the existing steps at the travel path to the men's and women's restrooms act as a barrier to accessibility.
  - ii. The required handrails are not provided at the existing steps at the travel path leading to the men's and women's restrooms.
  - iii. The first of two toilet compartments in the men's restrooms is inaccessible, in that the required minimum clear width is not provided at the door of the first of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom.
  - iv. The required minimum maneuvering clearance is not provided at the door of the first of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom.
  - v. Non-compliant door swing of the door at the first of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom, in that the door of the first of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom swings into the compartment.
  - vi. The required minimum space is not provided in the first of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom.

- vii. The required minimum clearance is not provided at the first of two water closets in the men's restroom.
- viii. The required grab bars are not provided on the rear and side walls of the first of two water closets in the men's restroom.
- ix. Non-compliant position of the plumbing valves located directly behind the toilet seat of the first of two water closets in the men's restroom.
- x. Inaccessible coat hook in the first of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom, in that the non-compliant height of the coat hook in the first of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom exceeds the maximum height allowance.
- xi. The second of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom is inaccessible, in that the required minimum clear width is not provided at the door of the second of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom.
- xii. The required minimum maneuvering clearance is not provided at the door of the second of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom.
- xiii. The required minimum space is not provided in the second of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom.
- xiv. The required minimum clearance is not provided at the second of two water closets in the men's restroom.
- xv. Non-compliant position of the plumbing valves located directly behind the toilet seat of the second of two water closets in the men's restroom.
- xvi. Inaccessible coat hook in the second of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom, in that the non-compliant height of the coat hook in the

- second of two toilet compartments in the men's restroom exceeds the maximum height allowance.
- xvii. The first of two lavatories in the men's restrooms is inaccessible, in that the required minimum knee clearance is not provided at the first of two lavatories in the men's restroom.
- xviii. The second of two lavatories in the men's restroom is inaccessible, in that the required minimum knee clearance is not provided at the second of two lavatories in the men's restroom.
  - xix. Insulation of the pipes and water lines under the first of two lavatories in the men's restroom is not provided as required.
  - xx. Insulation of the pipes and water lines under the second of two lavatories in the men's restroom is not provided as required.
  - xxi. Inaccessible women's restroom, in that the required minimum maneuvering clearance is not provided at the door of the women's restroom.
- xxii. The first of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom is inaccessible, in that the required minimum maneuvering clearance is not provided at the door of the first of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom.
- xxiii. The required minimum space is not provided in the first of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom.
- xxiv. The required minimum clearance is not provided at the first of three water closets in the women's restroom.

- xxv. Non-compliant position of the plumbing valves located directly behind the toilet seat of the first of three water closets in the women's restroom.
- xxvi. The second of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom is inaccessible, in that the required minimum clear width is not provided at the door of the second of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom.
- xxvii. The required minimum maneuvering clearance is not provided at the door of the second of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom.
- xxviii. Non-compliant door swing of the door at the second of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom, in that the door at the second of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom swings into the compartment.
  - xxix. The required minimum space is not provided in the second of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom.
  - xxx. The required minimum clearance is not provided at the second of three water closets in the women's restroom.
  - xxxi. The required grab bars are not provided on the rear and side walls of the second of three water closets in the women's restroom.
- xxxii. Non-compliant position of the plumbing valves located directly behind the toilet seat of the second of three water closets in the women's restroom.
- xxxiii. The third of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom is inaccessible, in that the required minimum clear width is not provided at

- the door of the third of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom.
- xxxiv. The required minimum maneuvering clearance is not provided at the door of the third of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom.
- xxxv. Non-compliant door swing of the door at the third of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom, in that the door of the third of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom swings into the compartment.
- xxxvi. The required minimum space is not provided in the third of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom.
- xxxvii. The required minimum clearance is not provided at the third of three water closets in the women's restroom.
- xxxviii. The required grab bars are not provided on the rear and side walls of the third of three water closets in the women's restroom.
  - xxxix. Non-compliant position of the plumbing valves located directly behind the toilet seat of the third of three toilet compartments in the women's restroom.
    - xl. Insulation of the pipes and water lines under the first of three lavatories in the women's restroom is not provided as required.
    - xli. Insulation of the pipes and water lines under the second of three lavatories in the women's restroom is not provided as required.
    - xlii. Insulation of the pipes and water lines under the third of three lavatories in the women's restroom is not provided as required.

- xliii. The first of three mirrors in the women's restroom is inaccessible, in that the non-compliant mounted height of the first of three mirrors in the women's restroom exceeds the maximum height allowance.
- xliv. The second of three mirrors in the women's restroom is inaccessible, in that the non-compliant mounted height of the second of three mirrors in the women's restroom exceeds the maximum height allowance.
- xlv. The third of three mirrors in the women's restroom is inaccessible, in that the non-compliant mounted height of the third of three mirrors in the women's restroom exceeds the maximum height allowance.
- xlvi. The unisex restroom is inaccessible: inaccessible travel path leading to the unisex restroom and the existing steps at the travel path to the unisex restroom act as a barrier to accessibility.
- xlvii. The required minimum maneuvering clearance is not provided at the door of the unisex restroom.
- xlviii. Non-compliant door lock at the door of the unisex restroom requires twisting of the wrist.
  - xlix. Non-compliant position of the plumbing valves located directly behind the toilet seat of the water closet in the unisex restroom.
    - Inaccessible lavatory in the unisex restroom, in that the required minimum knee and toe clearance is not provided at the lavatory in the unisex restroom.
    - Insulation of the pipes and water lines under the lavatory in the unisex restroom is not provided as required.

- lii. Inaccessible coat hook in the unisex restroom, in that the non-compliant height of the coat hook in the unisex restroom exceeds the maximum height allowance.
- 23. Plaintiff has attempted to access the Subject Property and Subject Facility, but has been precluded from accessing the Subject Property and Subject Facility, because of his disabilities; specifically, Plaintiff was precluded by physical barriers to access, dangerous conditions, and ADA violations existing upon the Subject Property and Subject Facility. These violations, which include but are not limited to those enumerated herein, prohibit Plaintiff from accessing the Subject Property and Subject Facility, and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein.
- 24. Remediating the ADA and/or ADAAG violations set forth herein is both technically feasible and readily achievable.
- 25. Plaintiff intends to visit the Subject Property and Subject Facility, again in the future (immediately upon Subject compliance with an Order of this Court requiring that Defendants remedy the subject ADA violations) in order to utilize all of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered at the Subject Property and Subject Facility; however, in light of his disability, unless and until the Subject Property and Subject Facility, is brought into compliance with the ADA, Plaintiff will remain unable to fully, properly, and safely access the Subject Property and Subject Facility, and/or the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or accommodations offered therein.
- 26. As a result of the foregoing, Defendants have discriminated against, and continue to discriminate against the Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, by denying access to, and full and equal enjoyment of, the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages and/or

- accommodations of the Subject Property and/or Subject Facility. Defendants' discrimination is specifically prohibited by 42 U.S.C. § 12182, *et seq*.
- 27. Moreover, Defendants will continue to discriminate against Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, until it is compelled by this Court to remove all physical barriers upon the Subject Property and Subject Facility, which violate the ADA and/or ADAAG, including but not limited to those specifically set forth herein, and to make the Subject Property and Subject Facility, accessible to and usable by persons with disabilities, including Plaintiff.
- 28. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law, and is suffering irreparable harm, and reasonably anticipates that he will continue to suffer irreparable harm unless and until Defendants are required to remove the physical barriers, dangerous conditions, and ADA and/or ADAAG violations that exist upon the Subject Property and Subject Facility, including but not limited to those set forth herein.
- 29. This Court is vested with authority to grant injunctive relief sought by Plaintiff herein, including entry of an order requiring alteration and modification of the Subject Property and Subject Facility, so as to make readily accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities, including but not limited to Plaintiff to the extent required by ADA and/or ADAAG.
- 30. Plaintiff has been obligated to retain the undersigned counsel for the filing and prosecution of this action, and has agreed to pay counsel reasonable attorney's fees, costs, and litigation expenses, all of which are recoverable against the Defendants.<sup>6</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> 42 U.S.C. §§ 12205, 12117

# COUNT II VIOLATIONS OF THE NYSHRL

- 31. Plaintiff re-avers and re-alleges the allegations set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 32. The New York State Human Rights Law provides:

It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent or employee of any place of public accommodation...because of the ... disability ... of any person, directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof ... to the effect that any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any such place shall be refused, withheld from or denied to any person on account of ... disability ... <sup>7</sup>

- 33. The Subject Property and Subject Facility is a place of public accommodation as defined by the NYSHRL.
- 34. Plaintiff visited the Subject Property and Subject Facility and encountered architectural barriers as described herein.
- 35. By maintaining architectural barriers that discriminate against people with disabilities through the actions described above, Defendants have, directly or indirectly, refused, withheld, and/or denied to Plaintiff, because of his disability, the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof provided at the Subject Property and Subject Facility. Failure on the part of Defendants to act to identify and remove barriers can be construed as an act of "negligent per se."
- 36. Plaintiff has been damaged and will continue to be damaged by this discrimination as more fully set forth above and, in addition to injunctive relief, seeks judgment pursuant to N.Y. Exec. Law §297, including for damages pursuant to § 297(9) thereof.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> NYS Exec. Law § 296 (2) (a).

## COUNT III VIOLATIONS OF THE NYCHRL

- 37. Plaintiff re-avers and re-alleges the allegations set forth above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. The NYCHRL provides:

It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent or employee of any place or provider of public accommodation because of the actual or perceived...disability...of any person, directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof...to the effect that any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges of any such place or provider shall be refused, withheld from or denied to any person on account of ...disability...<sup>8</sup>

39. Defendants are in violation of the NYCHRL by denying the Plaintiff full and safe access to all the accommodations, benefits and services of Subject Property and Subject Facility.

### **ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS**

- 40. Plaintiff has been obligated to retain the undersigned attorneys for purposes of filing and prosecuting this lawsuit. Pursuant to the ADA and NYCHRL, Plaintiff is entitled to have his reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and expenses paid by the Defendants.
- 41. Plaintiff respectfully requests a judgment pursuant to N.Y. Exec. Law § 297, including compensatory damages contemplated by § 297(9)

#### **DAMAGES**

42. Plaintiff demands One Thousand Dollars (\$1,000.00) in compensatory damages based on Defendants' violation of the NYSHRL and the NYCHRL.

#### **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

43. Plaintiff will continue to experience unlawful discrimination because of Defendants' failure to comply with the ADA, the NYSHRL and NYCHRL.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> NYC Admin Code § 8-107(4)(a)

- 44. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Honorable Court is vested with the authority to grant injunctive relief in favor of the Plaintiff, including but not limited to the issuance of an Order to alter the Subject Property and Subject Facility so that they are made readily accessible to, and useable by, all individuals with disabilities, including Plaintiff, as required pursuant to the ADA, the NYSHRL, NYCHRL, and closing the facilities until the requisite modifications are complete.
- 45. Therefore, injunctive relief is necessary to order Defendants to alter and modify their place of public accommodation, their policies, business practices, operations and procedures.
- 46. Injunctive relief is also necessary to make the Subject Facility readily accessible and useable by Plaintiff in accordance with the ADA, the NYSHRL, NYCHRL.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby demands judgment against the Defendants, jointly and severally, and requests the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

- a) A declaration that the Subject Property and Subject Facility owned, leased, operated, controlled and/or administrative by Defendants are in violation of the ADA, the NYSHRL and the NYCHRL;
- b) An Order requiring Defendants to evaluate and neutralize their policies, practices and procedures towards individuals with disabilities, for such reasonable time to allow the Defendants to undertake and complete corrective procedures to Subject Property and the Subject Facility;
- c) An Order requiring Defendants undertake and complete a program of corrective remodeling, reconstruction, and other such actions as may be necessary, to remediate and eliminate all ADA and ADAAG violations found at trial to be present upon the Subject Property and the Subject Facility;

- d) An Order requiring Defendants to alter their facilities and amenities to make them accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities as required pursuant to Title III of the ADA, the ADAAG, and by NYSHRL and the NYCHRL;
- e) Requiring that the Subject Facility be closed to the general public until such time as it complies with all ADA, ADAAG, NYSHRL and NYCHRL requirements, including but not limited to complete remediation of physical barriers to access on the Subject Property and the Subject Facility;
- f) An Order issuing a permanent injunction ordering Defendants to close the Subject Premises and cease all business until Defendants remove all violations under the ADA, NYSHRL and the NYCHRL, including but not limited to the violations set forth herein;
- g) An award of reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, disbursements and other expenses associated with this action, in favor of the Plaintiff;
- h) An award of damages in favor of Plaintiff in the maximum amount permitted by the NYSHRL and/or NYCHRL, as well as, compensatory and punitive damages; and

i) For such other and further relief that this Court deems just, necessary and proper.

Dated: March 12, 2020 Respectfully Submitted,

Bashian & Papantoniou P.C.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 500 Old Country Road, Ste. 302 Garden City, NY 11530

Tel: (516) 279-1554 Fax: (516) 213-0339

By: /s/ Erik M. Bashian, Esq.

ERIK M. BASHIAN, ESQ. (EB7326)

eb@bashpaplaw.com